

United States Patent and Trademark Office

		_		$L \rightarrow$	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT	OF	d	MMG	CRC	t
United States Patent and Tradema	kk	Oi	Lice -	l	ŀ
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATI	EΝΤ	S	\	Ν,	را
P.O. Box 1450	\		_ \	T	
Alexandría, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	_	•	- 1	- 1	
www.uapto.gov		\	/	/_	

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/088,278	07/24/2002		Stefan Arndt	10191/2311	8746			
26646	7590 07/21/2004 EXAMINE		INER					
KENYON &	KENYON & KENYON			HWU, D	HWU, DAVIS D			
ONE BROA	DWAY							
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3752					

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/088,278	ARNOT ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Davis Hwu	3752				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 J</u>	<u>une 2004</u> .					
2a)∐ This	action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)∐ Sind	ce this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
clos	ed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition o	of Claims						
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>14-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) 19,20 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.						
•	m(s) <u>14-18,21 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.						
•	m(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application F	apers						
9)∐ The	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) Objected to by the	Examiner.				
	licant may not request that any objection to the						
•	lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
 a)⊠ Al							
1.⊠	Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		_					
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	E. T. N. E E. L. E	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	s)/Mail Date 15 March 2002.	6) Other:					
J.S. Patent and Tradema PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-		action Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040720				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,278 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of claims 14-16 and 21-26 is acknowledged. The restriction of claims 17 and 18 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 14-18, 21, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lambert et al.

Lambert et al. shows a fuel injector comprising:

- a valve needle 13;
- a valve seat body 10 including a first valve seat surface 12 and a second valve seat surface 21;
- an actuator that cooperates with the valve needle;

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/088,278

Art Unit: 3752

a first valve closure member arranged on the valve needle and cooperating with the first valve seat surface on the valve seat body to form a first sealing seat; and

- a second valve closure member cooperating with the second valve seat surface in the valve seat body to form a second sealing seat, wherein;
- one of the valve needle and the first valve closure member includes a limit stop (Column 8, lines 32-34), at which after a partial stroke of the valve needle, a counter limit stop 34 of the second valve closure member comes into contact and lifts the second valve closure member in a further stroke of the valve needle from the second sealing seat;
- wherein the valve seat body includes a first circumferential hole circle element having a plurality of spray-discharge bore holes 16 and a second circumferential hole circle element including a plurality of spray-discharge bore holes 22 as recited in claims 16 and 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert et al. in view of Ren et al.

Ren et al. teaches a fuel injector comprising a valve seat body having a central spraydischarge bore hole 58. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Lambert et al. by providing a central spray-discharge hole as taught by Ren et al. to discharge fuel into the combustion chamber.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Iwanaga, Cooke et al., and Lambert et al. are pertinent to Applicant's invention.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Davis Hwu